Modeling the Antimicrobial Resistance of Enterobacteria Responsible for Urinary Tract Infections in Benin: Another Way to Control Antimicrobial Resistance
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ABSTRACT

Background: Infectious diseases are serious public health issue both in developing countries and industrialized nations. In developing countries, they are the main cause of high mortality rates. In the second group, existing resistance strains to antibiotics is developing and growing at an alarming rate. The purpose of this study was to produce data of national interest to implement sustainable control program against the spread of antimicrobial resistance strains in Benin.

Methods: One hundred and ninety (190) urine samples were collected in selected hospitals in Benin from patients with urinary tract infection. After getting the informed consent from the patients, samples collections were performed under aseptic conditions and cultured for further analysis in the laboratory. The resistance profile of the bacterial strains was established. The search for beta-lactamase production by the isolates was performed using the synergy test for amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and cephalosporins. Mathematical modeling for predicting the development of resistance of the strains by the year 2024 was carried out employing the compartmental deterministic models.

Results: Two hundred and thirty (230) strains were identified from the urine samples. Male individuals were the most affected by urinary tract infections. Individuals between the ages of 21-30 were predominantly infected. E. coli was the most isolated species (32.43%) in the urine samples, followed by K. pneumoniae (26.85%) and E. cloacae (25.92%). The susceptibility testing of isolates showed a high resistance to amoxicillin (91.82%). Whereas the lowest resistance was to imipenem (2%). The beta-lactamase was produced by 24.03% of the strains. Escherichia coli (32.43%) was the most productive of broad spectrum beta-lactamase, followed by K. pneumoniae (31.03%). The mathematical modeling revealed a rampant rise in resistance development of the strains to the tested antibiotics.

Conclusions: These results provide important data for developing new preventive strategies against the evolution of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. It therefore, further deserves a constructive advocacy so that more actions are taken against the rampant spread of antimicrobial resistance strains in our health facilities as well as in the communities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are a serious public health issue both in developing countries, where they are the main cause of high mortality rates and in industrialized nations where resistance to existing antibiotics is growing at an alarming rate [1]. Infection of the urinary tract is one of the most common diseases in the hospital and the community. This infection covers various clinical modalities such as uncomplicated acute cystitis, asymptomatic bacteriuria; it can lead to worse conditions including pyelonephritis, prostatitis, urethritis or infection complicating uropathy [2,3]. The urinary tract infection is extremely frequent among elderly and the symptoms are polymorphous such as asthenia, anorexia, recent incontinence or urgency without urgency [2]. With an incidence between 150-250 million people worldwide [4], urinary tract infections are also more prevalent in females as compared to males. In the community, bacteriuria is very common, thirty times more in females than in males, with a prevalence of up to 3.5% on a population scale, increasing almost linearly with age. Before the age of 24, 30% of women will have a UTI and almost 50% of women will have a UTI during their lifetime. In institutionalized patients, bacteriuria can reach up to 24%. Urinary tract infection is the most common bacterial infection in hospitalized patients. Urinary tract infections account for 40% of all nosocomial infections. The use of urinary drainage catheters represents the most important risk factor and is the responsible factor in 80% of urinary tract infection acquired in hospital settings. The incidence of urinary tract infections is increasing in certain populations, including pregnant women, people with spinal cord injuries, patients with multiple sclerosis and those with HIV and AIDS [1,5,2,6].

According to several reports, members of the Enterobacteriaceae family are the most isolated bacteria in urinary infections and E. coli is the leading cause of such infection [3,4].

The poorly controlled use of antibiotics has led to phenomena of bacterial resistance. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents is a problem of
increasing importance in medical practice. Dissemination of resistant bacteria is responsible for a considerable increase in mortality, morbidity and cost of treatment [3,7].

In Africa and particularly in Benin, urinary tract infections remain endemic and represent the highest reason for consultation or hospital visits. However, the etiology of the bacteria involved is not known at the national level. In addition, the majority of patients do not have access to medical laboratories and the management of infectious syndromes is still probabilistic. This practice encourages the spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria in the community and even more in hospitals. No studies have addressed the incidence of multidrug resistance Enterobacteriaceae based on the production of beta-lactamases and carbapenemases in urinary tract infections in Benin, and using mathematical modeling to predict how the population of this resistance strains may evolve in the next five years. Modeling biological phenomena is important for several reasons, such as helping to describe and better understand certain biological phenomena, and also makes it possible to summarize and organize knowledge. The mathematical modeling makes it possible to estimate key parameters, known or unknown [7]. The purpose of this article is to produce data of national interest to guide, prevent and predict the spread of antimicrobial resistance by the year 2024.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Design

A cross-sectional, prospective and analytical study was carried out, comprising all patients suffering from urinary tract infections in the respective geographical region. The study included patients from the following hospitals and Departmental Hospital Centers in Benin as shown in Table 1.

The present study was conducted on n=190 urine samples collected from patients diagnosed with urinary tract infections visiting these health facilities within the period of May and September 2019. Prior to admission to this study, a written consent was obtained from patients. This study received the approval of the ethics committee of the research unit. The consent forms are available from the corresponding author upon request. Total confidentiality was assured to the patients who participated in this study.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Collection of urine specimen and bacteriological examination

Urine samples were collected throughout Benin from the selected hospitals. For each patient who came to the hospitals for a cytotuberculosis examination of urine samples, a sterile sampling tube was given. Patients were assisted with strict hygiene measures to ensure an aseptic sample. Once the samples were aseptically taken, they were transported to the Research Unit in Applied Microbiology and Pharmacology of Natural Substances in a cooler containing accumulator for diagnosis. Transport temperature was 2°C to 4°C. The samples have been collected at Bethesda’s Area Hospital, Menontin’s Area Hospital, Parakou-N’dali’s Area Hospital, Padre-Pio’s Area Hospital, HZ Tangueta’s Area Hospital, Departmental Hospital Center of Porto-Novo. Cybotubericolical examination of the urine was carried out on each sample in the following manner: macroscopic examination, microscopic examination and culture. The cultivation took account of the gram results. Only the samples with Gram-negative bacilli were cultured, with particular interest in Enterobacteriaceae. This process follows the procedures described by Hassan, et al. and El bouamri, et al. [7,8]. Prior to the collection, a survey form was made available to capture patient’s details. These details were related to socio-cultural characteristics.

2.2.2 Antibiogram

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by the disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar [9]. The resistant bacteria that produced beta-lactamase were screened using the double synergy test as described by Inan, et al. [10]. The production of ESBL appears in the form of a champagne cork on the agar as shown in Fig. S1. The Table 1 shows the different antibiotics used with their concentrations.

2.2.3 Mathematical modeling of the resistance isolates

Following the determination of the antibiotic resistance profile, a mathematical modelisation was performed over six years period. The model used in this study is based on the compartment model which describes the dynamics of colonization of members of a population by a bacterial strain constructed by Anderson, et al. [11]. The population is divided into two
compartments: Colonized individuals and non-colonized or susceptible individuals. Individuals may be exposed to an antibiotic. The exposure to an antibiotic being homogeneously distributed among the study population. Our study took into account only colonized individuals, i.e., patients who came to the laboratory for the diagnosis of urinary tract infections. Within the population of colonized individuals, facing each antibiotic, the bacterial population is classified into 3 compartments: The compartment of sensitive strains (S), The compartment of strains with intermediate resistance (I) and the compartment of strains Resistors (R). The modeling in this case will allow us to estimate the evolution of resistance in the population of colonized individuals. The basic assumptions of the model are: The system is assumed to be closed, that is to say that births, deaths and migrations are not taken into account and the transition from one compartment to another is determined by fixed transfer rates. The purpose of this modeling was to predict an estimation of the spread of resistant population by the year 2024. For the resistance profiles not having intermediate resistance, the following model was used:

\[
\begin{align*}
E.S.ATB &\xrightarrow{\alpha} E.R.ATB \\
E.S.ATB &\quad \text{: Antibiotic-Sensitive Enterobacteria} \\
E.R.ATB &\quad \text{: Antibiotic-Resistant Enterobacteria}
\end{align*}
\]

Equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d(E.S.ATB)}{dt} &= -\alpha E.S.ATB \\
\frac{d(E.R.ATB)}{dt} &= \alpha E.S.ATB
\end{align*}
\]

Parameters:
\(\alpha\) : Rate of E.S.ATB becoming E.R.ATB

For resistance profiles with intermediate resistance, the following model was applied:

\[
\begin{align*}
E.S.ATB &\xrightarrow{\alpha} E.I.ATB & &\xrightarrow{\beta} E.R.ATB \\
E.S.ATB &\quad \text{: Enterobacteria sensitive to antibiotic.} \\
E.I.ATB &\quad \text{: Enterobacteria with Intermediate Antibiotic Resistance.} \\
E.R.ATB &\quad \text{: Enterobacteria Resistant to Antibiotics.}
\end{align*}
\]

Equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d(E.S.ATB)}{dt} &= -(\alpha + \lambda)E.S.ATB + \theta E.I.ATB \\
\frac{d(E.I.ATB)}{dt} &= \alpha E.S.ATB - (\beta + \theta)E.I.ATB \\
\frac{d(E.R.ATB)}{dt} &= \beta E.I.ATB + \lambda E.S.ATB
\end{align*}
\]

Parameters:
\(\alpha\) : E.S.ATB rate becoming E.I.ATB
\(\beta\) : E.I.ATB rate becoming E.R.ATB
\(\lambda\) : E.S.ATB rate becoming E.R.ATB
\(\theta\) : E.I.ATB rate becoming E.S.ATB
Table 1. List of antibiotics used in the study and their corresponding charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>Charge in µg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin + Clavulanic Acid</td>
<td>AMC</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>AMX</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxim</td>
<td>CTX</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ertapenem</td>
<td>ETP</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriazone</td>
<td>CRO</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4 Statistical analyzes

The data collected were coded and uploaded in a Microsoft Excel 2019 database. The graphs were developed using the GraphPad Prism 8 software. The proportions were compared using Chi square test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

Figure 1 shows the percentage of samples received per center. Bethesda and Menontin hospitals received more patients for cytobacteriological examination of urine than others. The study revealed that more Female patients contracted urinary tract infections in Benin (Figure 2a) with a positive proportion of 80% (115 positive samples out of 142) against 87.5% (35 positive samples upon 48) of male. However, this shows that population of female patients that visited the hospitals for diagnosis was far less compared to those of male patients.

The Figure 2b shows the distribution of individuals according to age group. This figure revealed that individuals between the age groups of 20 and 30 years were the most infected.

Similarly, the distribution of the study population by age group and sex has shown that women are the most represented in the age group between 20 and 30 years (Figure 2c). Macroscopic examination of the urine samples has several aspects with variable turbidity as indicated in Figure 3. The Table 2 shows the microscopic examination of the different elements detected in the study samples.

Figure 4a shows the frequency of the different bacterial species identified after the culturing. It shows that *E. coli* (32.43%) was the most isolated, followed by *K. pneumoniae* (26.85%) and *E. cloacae* (25.92%).

The sensitivity of the different bacterial isolates to the tested antibiotics are shown in Figure 4b. Table 3 shows the resistance profile of these enterobacteria to each antibiotic.

Table 4 shows the prevalence of the isolates detected for producing beta-lactamase enzyme. Here, *E. coli* (24/74) is the bacteria with the highest production of beta-lactamase followed by *K. pneumonia* (18/58).

3.2 Modelisation of the Resistance

The resistance of the different strains has been modeled to have an estimate of their population resistance by the year 2024. This study is the first in Benin. We therefore used the current data obtained during this study to predict the level of resistance on the 2024 scale. The results of this study are a basis for the use of Benin modeling. For all strains, high levels of resistance will be observed for all antibiotics and only imipenem will show low levels of resistance. However, *M. morganii* strains have surprisingly showed total resistance to imipenem (Figure 5).

Table 2. Microscopic aspects of the elements present in the samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Appreciations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial cells</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney cells</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystals</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Resistance profile of different bacterial species to different antibiotics used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Interpretation of the inhibition diameters</th>
<th>Penicillins</th>
<th>Beta lactams</th>
<th>Cephalosporins</th>
<th>Monobactams</th>
<th>Carbapenems</th>
<th>Aminosides</th>
<th>Quinolones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amoxiclav AMC</td>
<td>AMX</td>
<td>Cefotaxim CTX</td>
<td>Ceftriazone CRO</td>
<td>Aztreonam AT</td>
<td>Imipenem IMP</td>
<td>Ertapenem ETP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>58.63</td>
<td>91.37</td>
<td>37.28</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>31.03</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>50.66</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>51.73</td>
<td>89.66</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. pneumoniae</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. cloaceae</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. oxytoca</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. aerogenes</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. morganii</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. diversus</td>
<td>Resistant (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate (%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible (%)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Prevalence of the enterobacteria producing betalactamase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Number of bacteria identified</th>
<th>Number of ESBL Bacteria</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter cloaceae</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8/58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella oxytoca</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter aerogenes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morganella morganii</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter diversus</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>230</strong></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td><strong>53/230</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Percentage of urine samples according to the hospitals

Figure 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of the population
Figure 3. Macroscopic aspects of the samples collected

Figure 4. Bacteriological characteristics of enterobacteria

Figure 5a: Evolution of the resistance of Escherichia coli

Figure 5b: Evolution of the resistance of Klebsiella pneumoniae
Modeling *K. pneumoniae* strains showed total resistance to some antibiotics by 2024, namely Amoxicillin, Ceftriaxone and Ciprofloxacin. Apart from Imipenem, which in 2024, a rate of 34.80% will be observed, all the other antibiotics tested will show high levels of resistance (Figure 5a).

For strains of *C. diversus*, a 100% resistance will be observed for amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin. Imipenem and Aztreonam are the two antibiotics with relatively low levels of resistance (Figure 5b).

Strains of *E. aerogenes* will show high resistance to most antibiotics tested. Only carbapenems will show moderately low resistance (Figure 5c).

In 2019, high levels of resistance were already observed against all antibiotics tested in strains of *M. morganii* and in 2024, there would be complete resistance against all antibiotics (Figure 5d).

In *K. oxytoca*, resistance against all the antibiotics tested will be 100% except for Imipenem which is 22% (Figure 5e).
In 2024, the strains of *E. cloacae* will also show high levels of resistance against all the antibiotics tested except for Imipenem which will be 22.04% while it was zero in 2019 (Figure 5g).

4. DISCUSSION

In a developing country such as Benin, bacterial infections such as urinary tract infection remain a major public health issue given their frequency and antimicrobial resistance [12]. Several bacterial species are involved as far as urinary tract infections are concerned. The general objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of *Enterobacteriaceae* responsible for urinary tract infections in Benin and to evaluate the level of resistance of these uropathogenic bacteria to beta-lactam and carbapenem classes of antibiotics and also to model how this population of antibiotic-resistant strains may evolve by the year 2014. This study was carried out in various health facilities across the country where clinical specimen where collected and analysed at the reference laboratory. The healthcare facilities comprised district hospitals and Departmental Hospital Centers. These centers were chosen according to the geographical location in the country, the affluence in terms of microbiological diagnosis based on the availability of a bacteriological laboratory. Thus, a total of 190 urine samples were collected. On average, fifteen [13] urine samples are received per week in the surveyed hospitals. This influx would be justified by the public health threats posed by urinary tract infections in developing countries like Benin [14]. Several researchers have reported in various studies that these infections are the most common bacterial diseases in hospital and community settings [15,16]. This state of affairs shows that urinary tract infections are endemic to Benin.

The ratio of male to female has shown that males are the most affected by urinary tract infections (Figure 2). However, the study revealed that numbers of male patients were far less compared to female patients that visited the health facilities. Pregnant women are the most affected as pregnancy is a physiological state that weakens the woman's immune system [17,18,19]. In addition, among the population studied, individuals between the age group of 21 and 30 years are the most affected by this disease and among these, women are also the most represented. The female predominance is related to the anatomical configuration: shortness of the urethra, proximity of the genital and anal openings, insufficient hygiene practices, sexual intercourse and pregnancy. A study by Moutachakkir, et al. [20] noted a similar result in his study on *Enterobacteriaceae* phenotypes responsible for community and nosocomial infections. However, urinary cytology showed epithelial cells, urinary crystals, kidney cells and leukocytes which were mostly high. These results corroborate those of Mshana, et al. [21] in their study carried out on the resistance phenotypes of *E. coli* strains responsible for urinary tract infections in the laboratory of the University Medical Center of Befelatananain Antananarivo.

In the present study, 230 Enterobacteriaceae have been isolated and identified from the urine samples. *Escherichia coli* (32.42%) is the most isolated bacterial species, followed by *K. pneumoniae* (26.85%) and *E. cloacae* (25.92%) (Figure 4a). This result corroborates several studies conducted by researchers from other countries that worked on enterobacteria responsible for urinary tract infections [22-26]. A study by Sbiti, et al. [27] also obtained similar results. In addition to the commonly encountered species, other bacteria have also been isolated. These include *K. oxytoca* (6.94%), *E. aerogenes* (6.02%), *M. morganii* (0.92%) and *C. diversus* (0.92%). The involvement of these bacteria in the case of urinary tract infection has been demonstrated by other studies [28]. Indeed, most of these authors have shown that these bacteria are also responsible for urinary tract infections but with a very low proportion compared to the frequently isolated species.

The study of the sensitivity of isolated strains showed a high resistant to penicillins, Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and amoxicillin (Figure 4b). This result is similar to that of Zahir, et al. [29], who researched on the evolution of the resistance of enterobacteria responsible for human infections at the Douala hospital. The different bacterial species isolated showed varying levels of resistance to the different antibiotics used. In the family of bet-alatamin, penicillins including amoxicillin (50.56%) and amoxicillin / clavulanic acid (91.82%) showed the most resistance. Cephalosporins such as cefotaxime (36.24%) and ceftriazone (45.58%) exhibited high level resistance as did aztreonam
(35.81%). Imipenem (2.00%) showed very low resistance compared to ertapenem (36.07%) of the carbapenem class (Table 3). In the aminoglycoside family, gentamicin showed a resistance of 33.74%, lower than the resistance level of ciprofloxacin (52.05%) in the quinolone family. The high level of resistance observed in the penicillin class has been demonstrated by other researchers [26,17,19]. The latter explained that this resistance is acquired and would be the consequence of the selection pressure linked to the excessive consumption of antibiotics in the developing countries. This result confirms that amino-penicillins are no longer recommended for treating urinary tract infections. The high resistance level of cephalosporins is similar to that of Chemlal, et al. [2] but different from those of Kanadzigui, et al. [14]. This result could be justified by the fact that cephalosporins are the most used antibiotics [8]. Also in the context of our study, the samples were collected in Benin reference centers so patients often come from other health facilities where probabilistic treatments based on the use of these molecules have sometimes already been initiated. Self-medication and lack of infection management guidelines can also contribute to increased levels of resistance to these antibiotics in our context. Imipenem had good activity on enterobacterial strains. This trend has also been found in Spain [24]. The evolution of the resistance of uropathogenic enterobacteria to gentamicin and ciprofloxacin has also been demonstrated by this author.

In the current study, E. coli showed the highest resistance to amoxicillin and high sensitivity to imipenem (89.65%). These results are consistent with those obtained by Konaré [16]. For K. pneumoniae strains, the highest resistance was observed to Amoxicillin and the lowest resistance was to Imipenem (6.25%). These results are similar to those of Inan, et al. [8] conducted in India. Klebsiella pneumoniae strains are naturally resistant to amino-penicillins due to the expression of Ambler class A chromosomal beta-lactamases (5), which could justify their high resistance to Amoxicillin. In Burkina Faso, Konaré [16] obtained a sensitivity rate for Imipenem that is similar to that obtained in this study.

The determination of the production of beta-lactamase by the double synergy test showed a general prevalence of 18.44% (Table 4). This prevalence is slightly below what was reported by Ahoyo, et al. [1]. In fact, they worked on the bacteria responsible for nosocomial infections at the Zou-Colline Departmental Hospital Center. Strains of E. coli (34.61%) are the most producing beta-lactamase in our study followed by K. pneumoniae (30.77%). This resistance may be explained by a decrease in the activity of the beta-lactamase inhibitor (clavulanic acid), resulting from a penicillinase hyperproduction, or the inactivation of the inhibitor itself [13]. This is probably due to the often anarcho-prescription of these molecules, especially in ambulatory medicine, pending EBCU results.

In Benin, mathematical modeling has so far been rarely used in predicting the spread of antibiotic resistance population and therefore the literature on the latter is almost non-existent. In this study, mathematical modeling of bacterial resistance to the antibiotics tested showed a significant difference in the evolution of resistance at the 2024 scale. A rapid increase in resistance was observed in all bacterial species studied. It is only at the level of imipenem that a low level of resistance has been obtained at the 2024 scale (Figure 5). Indeed, in a report published in 2014, WHO refers to Africa and South-East Asia as the regions of the world without antimicrobial resistance surveillance systems [12]. It is in this perspective that the present study was carried out. The results of this study therefore open a perspective for an estimate of the resistance rates of these strains by the year 2024. Other more recent models have been developed in recent years for modeling applied to antimicrobial resistance. However, the complexity of these models (due to the fact that they were built in developed countries) prevents us from being able to apply them in Benin (a developing country).

5. CONCLUSION

The present study has highlighted Enterobacteriaceae responsible for urinary tract infections in Benin and the increasing evolution of bacterial resistance to an antibiotic which requires radical measures. Thus, before any suspicion of urinary tract infection, it is preferable to perform a cytobacteriological examination of urine with a mandatory antibiogram. Indeed, the antibiogram is above all a tool to help the therapeutic decision: by categorizing the sensitive bacteria, intermediate or resistant, it guides with predictability antibiotic therapy, contributing to a gain in morbidity-mortality according to the severity of infections concerned. This will avoid the probabilistic or empirical treatment of
the urinary tract infection which may lead to resistance. Similarly, self-medication should be avoided by controlling the supply of antibiotics at the community and hospital level. Standard and specific hygiene precautions should also be adhered to, so as to limit the spread and transmission of ESBLs. Awareness among health authorities, health professionals and the population is necessary for these measures to be understood and practiced appropriately.
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